Case 3:20-cv-00625-AC Document 1-1 Filed 04/21/20 Page 1 of 5 20CV14083

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

1 2 E. DONALD SURBER and KAY Case No. 3 SURBER, husband and wife. **COMPLAINT** 4 Plaintiffs, (Personal Injury, Premises Liability, negligence) 5 VS. 6 ORS 21.160 Filing Fee \$884.00 J.C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC., 7 a Deleware corporation doing business Prayer for \$1,442,838.25 in the State of Oregon, 8 Claim NOT Subject to Mandatory Defendants. Arbitration 9 10 Jury Trial Requested 11 COMES NOW Plaintiffs E. DONALD SURBER and KAY SURBER by and through 12 their attorney of record and allege as follows: 13 14 1. 15 At all times relevant hereto Plaintiffs were a married couple and residents of Whatcom 16 County, Washington. **17** 2. 18 At all times relevant hereto Defendant was a Texas corporation doing business in 19 Multnomah County, and throught Oregon operating a retail clothing store known as J.C. 20 Penny's. 21 22 3. 23 On or about March 31, 2018, Defendant was having a sstore-wide sale at its store at 24 Washington Square in Tigard, Oregon. Plaintiff Don Surber was a customer and business 25 invitee at Defendant's store and was shopping for clothes in the big and tall section of J.C. 26

COMPLAINT – PAGE 1 OF 5

27

MARK E. OLMSTED, PC 1000 S.W. Broadway, Suite 910 Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: (503) 445-4453 Fax: (503) 296-2227

Penney's. There were no clerks or other employees in that section of the store to assist and so

11 12

1314

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

2425

2627

Plaintiff Don Surber followed a sign to the only available dressing room. The dressing room seat was piled with clothes other customers apparently tried on and left. The floor was littered with multiple thin sheets of paper, which apparently had been folded into the clothes other customers tried on. Plaintiff Don Surber was not aware that the sheets of paper were slippery and while attempting to try on a pair of pants slipped on the paper which and fell to the ground on tailbone and back which then propelled him into the wall and causing personal injuries and damages as alleged more fully below.

4.

The condition of the dressing room was unsafe for use by customers. The unsafe condition of the dressing room constituted an unreasonably dangerous condition that could not be encountered with a reasonable degree of safety by persons in Plaintiff Don Surber's position and which was a substantial factor in causing his fall and injuries.

5.

At all times material herein Defendant, through its employees knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the condition of the dressing room rendered it unsafe for use by Customer's in Plaintiff's position.

6.

The manner in which Plaintiff used the dressing room was careful and reasonably foreseeable.

7.

Plaintiff Don Surber's fall and Plaintiffs' injuries and damages were caused by

Defendant's negligence and breach of duty to maintain a safe premises for the benefit of its
invitees/customers, in one or more of the following particulars each of which created a

COMPLAINT - PAGE 2 OF 5

26

27

foreseeable and unreasonable risk of injury to Plaintiff and which was a substantial factor in causing his fall:

- (a) Failing to have the store sufficiently staffed with employees to assist customers including Plaintiff Don Surber into the dressing room and ensure it was in a safe condition;
- (b) Failing to provide and maintain a reasonably safe premises for business invitees by allowing trip/slip and fall hazards to exist in the dressing room;
- (c) Failing to keep the dressing room locked until access could be provided by an employee;
- (d) Failing to warn Plaintiff Don Surber that there was paper concealed under clothing items on the floor of the changing room and that the clothes/paper were slippery and a trip/slip and fall hazard;
- (e) Failing to inspect the dressing room for safety hazards;
- (f) Failing to implement or enforce safety protocols whereby dressing rooms are inspected for safety prior to allowing customers access;
- (g) Failing to eliminate the unsafe condition of the dressing room once employees become aware or should have become aware of the unsafe condition;

8.

As a foreseeable, direct and proximate result of his fall, Plaintiff Don Surber sustained injuries, including but not limited to a closed compression fracture of third lumbar vertebra, as well as injuries to his hip, back and shoulder. Plaintiff Don Surber's injuries are permanent and cause him pain, suffering, disability, interference with work obligations and normal

COMPLAINT – PAGE 3 OF 5

activities of daily living, along with empotinal pain and suffering all to his non-economic damage of \$1,000,000.

9.

As a further foreseeable, direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and breach of duty to maintain a safe premises, Plaintiffs incurred past medical expenses in the approximate sum of \$144,476.34 to date. Plaintiff Don Surber is still receiving treatment and will incur additional medical expenses in an amount to be plead in an Amended Complaint and proven at trial.

10.

As a further foreseeable, direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and breach of duty to maintain a safe premises, Plaintiffs have incurred out of pocket expenses in an approximate amount of \$419.91, to date. Plaintiffs will incur future out of pocket costs in an amount to be plead in an Amended Complaint and proven at trial.

11.

As a further foreseeable, direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and breach of duty to maintain a safe premises, Plaintiff Don Surber will be forced to retire and will suffer impaired earning capacity in the amount of \$197,942.00.

12.

As a further foreseeable, direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and breach of duty to maintain a safe premises, Plaintiff Kay Surber has sustained and will continue to sustain emotional pain, loss of consortium and services of her husband, all to her non-economic damage in the amount a jury deems fair, but not to exceed \$100,000.00.

///

26

27

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against defendants as follows: 1 2 1. Past Medical Expenses in the approximate sum of \$144,476.34, subject to 3 amendment at the time of trial; 4 2. Future medical and related expenses in an amount to be proven at trial; 5 3. Impaired earning capacity in the amount of \$197,942.00; 6 4. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Don Surber in a reasonable sum not to exceed 7 \$1,000,000.00; 8 5. Non-economic for Plaintiff Kay Surber in a reasonable sum not to exceed 9 10 \$100,000.00; 11 6. Plaintiffs' costs and disbursements incurred herein. 12 13 DATED this <u>27</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2020. 14 15 /S/ Mark E. Olmsted Mark E. Olmsted, OSB No. 924156 16 E-mail: mark@olmstedlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiffs
Trial Attorney: Mark E. Olmsted 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 MARK E. OLMSTED, PC

COMPLAINT – PAGE 5 OF 5